

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/705,411	NOWAK, MICHAEL
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	James M Hewitt	3679

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) James M Hewitt. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mathew Perrone, Jr.. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Bulka (US 5,343,647), Solow (US 4,819,355), Pleotis (US 6,262,807)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 7, as proposed by the Examiner and as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment, in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)